

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,748	10/09/2003	Frederick A. Parker	3357-Z	8134
7.	590 07/19/2006		EXAM	INER
Law Office of Jim Zegeer			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
Suite 108				
801 North Pitt Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			3753	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/681,748	PARKER, FREDERICK A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753		

	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concession of the reply must g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotoa ciaimis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / infortament	(1 102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 4 & 11 - 14; . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:	A	Ramesh Krishnam Primary Examiner	namethy?
		Art Unit: 3753	V

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rejection of pending claims 1 - 4 and 11 - 14 are as set forth in the final office action mailed 05/09/06. Applicant's argument that Balazy et al. teaches away from a control valve is unpersuasive. The "pressure regulator" In Balazy et al. is used to control flow to a desired flow rate and such regulates flow as well and thus functions as a valve. Balazy et al. 's statement, quoted by the applicant, concerning the use of a pressure regulator rather than a control valve is being viewed simply as a statement reflecting the use of an alternate means for achieving the desired flow control. Balazy et al. does not explicitly state that a flow control valve cannot be used for the purpose of flow regulation as set forth in their invention..